




UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/736,349	12/14/2000	Elizabeth Adleberg Brodsky	AUS920000510US1	8921
47959	7590	11/16/2007	EXAMINER	
IBM AUSTIN (ANTHONY ENGLAND) C/O LAW OFFICE OF ANTHONY ENGLAND PO BOX 5307 AUSTIN, TX 78763-5307			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2007

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)	Application No. 09/736,349	Applicant(s) BRODSKY ET AL.
	Examiner Yasin M. Barqadle	Art Unit 2153
<i>--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--</i>		
<p>The Appeal Brief filed on <u>09 August 2007</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.</p> <p>To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an amended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.</p>		
<p>1. <input type="checkbox"/> The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.</p> <p>2. <input type="checkbox"/> The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).</p> <p>3. <input type="checkbox"/> At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).</p> <p>4. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).</p> <p>5. <input type="checkbox"/> The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))</p> <p>6. <input type="checkbox"/> The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).</p> <p>7. <input type="checkbox"/> The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).</p> <p>8. <input type="checkbox"/> The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).</p> <p>9. <input type="checkbox"/> The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).</p> <p>10. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): <i>The mapping doesn't correspond to the exact claim language. Instead appellant quotes portions of the claims without mapping and then gives a summary with mapping that differs from the claim language. Each claimed function of the independent claims involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately must be identified in the summary.</i> </p>		
 GLENTON B. BURGESS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100		